Math 564: Advance Analysis 1 Lecture 8

Recall 14 the is the coset eg. cel. of Q = IR. It is also the orbit eq. ret. of the translation action DR ~ IR: x IEQ y :<=> x-y & Q <=> x=y+q to some geQ. Theorem. Eq is A-ergodic. Proof. Suppose towards a contractiction 14 there is an Equivariant meas- set A such that both A and A are positive measure. Note that invariance means the q+A=A for all g c D. By the 95%, let 5 be a bold interval with th(5)>0 whose ggro is A. By the "arbitracity small" 99%, there is an interval I is A and s.t. O<th(I) < 1% of th(5) =: 2. Muse 99% J. Note 14 for any gEOR, g+I is 99%, g+A=A, becase Lebusque reacture is praslation incriant. It is enough to corec 98% of J by pairnise disjoint ration nal translates of J, benne then J rould by 0.98.99% >96% A, contracticiting the J is 99% A. The hermine list in SALTY / 11 11 11 11 11 1 The this and, let n:= Th(J)/lh(I) and take disjoint rational translates of I: 90 - I < 9 + I < -- < 9 + I so the each gap is < 2/n, except possibly the gap between Let I at the right endpoint of J, thick is < 1% of lb(S). This is possibly by the density of the in IP. Then we've indeed covered

all J except for 2. (k+1) + 2 6 2. n+2 = 22 much measure. But 22 is 2% of J, so re've covered 98% of J with disjoint cational translates of J. For an eq. rel. E on X, the E-saturation of a set $A \in X$ is the set $[A]_E := \bigcup [a]_E = \bigcup x \in X : -] a \in A$ with $a \in X_2$. For Eq. this has a nill form: $G_{\Gamma} A \subseteq [R, [A]_{EQ} = (/(q+A))$. So $\{F, A\}$ is hereasurable, so if $(A)_{EQ}$. Corollarg. Eq. 1 := { (x,y) & A²: x Eq. y} is A-ergodic too each X-measurable non-null set A = R. Proof. If A = BUC, here both B, C are EQ1, -invariant I measurable non-unll. then [B] = al [C] = are still disjoint and also measurable at non-unli, and EQT-invariant, non-cadicting by ecgodicity of IEQ. We'll show in the next HW that every transversal of an ergodic eq. rel. is non-measurable. Haar Measures. A topological group is a group & equipped with a topology the makes unltiplication .: G->G and the inverse function ()⁻¹: G->G continuous. Also, a dop space X is called (i) Hausdorff if for any distinct X, YEX I disjoint open U, VEX s.t. XEU at yEV is is

(ii) boally conject if every pt xEX admits a compact neigh-bouchood K (i.e. x e int (K) of K is compact).

o (Hol discrete groups o \mathbb{R}^d , d co o $\mathbb{Q}^{1N} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{1N}$ trich is a pp under wordinaterise binacy addition. o $\mathbb{R}^{\#} := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ with -o $\mathbb{C}^{\#} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with -o $\mathbb{C}^{\#} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with -o $\mathbb{G}_{ln}(\mathbb{R}) := \mathbb{R}$ sp of invertible real matrices. o $\mathbb{S}^{l} \in \mathbb{C}$ is a compact transfort pp. $\bigcirc \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Exceptes. Haar's theorem. Every locally conpact Hansdorft top. group admits Borel a unique (up to scaling) left (or right) translation-invariant measure that is positive on nonempty opens and timit

on co-pactor. (Being positive on open sits is automatic if Ce is 2nd etbl.) In particular, if G is compact them it admits a unique left (or right) translation invariant prob reasone. This weasure is call the /a Haar weasure.

Thus, the lebesgue measure is a floar neasure on IR. The Bercoullily) is the Hahr measure on (Z/2Z)^W. The counting measure is a flaar neasure on ctbl chiscrete groups. ycorps.

Barel measures on IR. We know Ut Lebesgue reasure on IR is the unique (up to scaling) translation-invariant reasure on IR ormong

all Boxel mensiones on IR that are finite on field sets.
We'd like to understand all Basel records on IR ht are
finite on fill satis, e.g. So or
$$\frac{1}{5}do + \frac{2}{3}t_1$$
.
We be such a measure on IR consider $f_{y:}: IR \rightarrow IR$
defined by $x \mapsto \sum_{i} \int_{i}^{i} f(0, x_i)$ if $x \ge 0$, so $f_{y'}(0) = f'(0, 0) = f(0, 0) = f(0,$

(b) Conversely, for any increasing right-continuous
$$f:|R \to |R|$$

there is a unique Borel neasure of with $f((a,b]) = f(b) - f(a)$.
(In perticular, $f_{T_F} - f$ is constant.)

loof. (a) We already proved it except of uniqueness, but if
$$f$$

and g are two such for objects. When for any $x \neq O$,
we have $f(x) - f(0) = \mathcal{I}'((0, x7)) = g(x) - g(0)$, so
 $f(x) - g(x) = f(0) - g(0)$. $\forall x \geq O$. Similarly for $x < O$, so f-g
 D constraint.